Using Computers as MetaCognitive Tools to Foster Students' Self-Regulated Learning

ROGER AZEVEDO, JENNIFER G. CROMLEY, FIELDING I. WINTERS,
DANIEL C. MOOS, AND JEFFREY A. GREENE

University of Maryland, Department of Human Development, Cognition and Technology Lab

This article provides an overview of our research on self-regulated learning (SRL) and students learning about complex and challenging science topics with hypermedia. We provide an overview of our research goals, present several theoretical and methodological issues addressed by our research, and provide a synthesis of the major findings from our laboratory and classroom research.

Keywords: Self-regulated learning, metacognition, computers, scaffolding.

PROBLEM/ISSUE BEING ADDRESSED

Computer-based learning environments (CBLEs) are effective to the extent that they can adapt to the needs of individual learners by systematically and dynamically providing scaffolding of key learning processes during learning (Anderson et al., 1995; Derry & Lajoie, 1993; Lajoie, 2000; Shute & Psotka, 1996). The ability of these environments to provide adaptive, individualized instruction is derived from an understanding of how learner characteristics, system features, and the mediating learning processes interact within particular contexts (Azevedo, 2002, 2005; Lajoie & Azevedo, in press). A critical aspect of providing

---

*Corresponding author: razevedo@umd.edu

individualized instruction is scaffolding, or instructional support in the form of guides, strategies, and tools which are used during learning to support a level of understanding that would be impossible to attain if students learned on their own (see Collins, Brown, Newman, 1989; Hannafin, Land, & Oliver, 1999; Jonassen & Land, 2000; Pea, 2004; Puntambekar & Hubscher, 2005; Quintana et al., 2004). Despite our ability to provide scaffolding for well-structured tasks within traditional CBLEs such as intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), providing adaptive scaffolding of students' learning about conceptually challenging domains, such as the circulatory system and ecology, remains a challenge for hypermedia instruction. We argue that harnessing the full power of hypermedia learning environments will require empirical research aimed at understanding *what* kinds of scaffolds are effective in facilitating self-regulated learning, and *when* they are best deployed. Our research therefore focuses on examining the effectiveness of different human scaffolding conditions in facilitating undergraduate, high school, and middle school students' learning about the circulatory system and ecology with hypermedia learning environments. The empirical results of our research are then used to inform the design of adaptive hypermedia learning environments.

GOALS OF OUR RESEARCH

Adaptive scaffolding has been used successfully in non-hypermedia based learning environments designed to teach students about well-structured tasks such as math, geometry, and physics (e.g., Aleven & Koedinger, 2002). However, research on scaffolding with hypermedia learning environments is scant (see Dillon & Gabbard, 1998). The recent widespread use of open-ended learning environments such as hypermedia has outpaced our understanding of *how* learners can effectively learn within such environments and *how* scaffolds can be implemented in hypermedia environments in order to adapt to students' individual learning needs (Shapiro & Neiderhauser, 2004). While there are a few studies on fixed, embedded scaffolds in hypermedia, very little empirical research has been conducted on the effectiveness of adaptive scaffolding and how it may facilitate students' learning within hypermedia. It is critical that researchers conduct more empirical research in this area to determine how different adaptive scaffolding methods foster self-

regulatory processes that facilitate students' learning of challenging topics. In general, our research examines what kinds of scaffolding make a difference, why, and under what conditions. In our research, we examine the effectiveness of self-regulated learning (SRL) and externally-regulated learning (ERL) in facilitating qualitative shifts in students' mental models (from pretest to posttest) and the use of self-regulatory processes associated with these shifts in conceptual understanding.

More specifically, the goals of our research are to conduct laboratory and classroom research which addresses the following questions:

1) Do different scaffolding conditions influence students' ability to shift to more sophisticated mental models of complex and challenging science topics (i.e., the circulatory system and ecology)?
2) Do different scaffolding conditions lead students to gain significantly more declarative knowledge of complex and challenging science topics?
3) How do different scaffolding conditions influence students' ability to regulate their learning of complex and challenging science topics with hypermedia?
4) What is the role of external regulating agents (i.e., human tutors, classroom teachers, and peers) in students' self-regulated learning of complex and challenging topics with hypermedia?

MAIN RESULTS (THEORETICAL, METHODOLOGICAL, AND EMPIRICAL)

Theoretical Advances
We have chosen contemporary frameworks and models of self- regulated learning (SRL) (see Pintrich, 2000; Winne, 2001; Zimmerman, 2000) to guide our research and to build our emerging model of how students use self-regulated learning to learn about complex topics with hypermedia. Our model has allowed us to examine the complex interplay between learner characteristics (e.g., prior knowledge, developmental level), elements of the hypermedia environment (e.g., non-linear structure of hypermedia), and mediating self-regulatory processes (e.g., planning, strategy use, monitoring activities) used by students during learning with hypermedia. Based on our model, we hypothesize that students learning with hypermedia need to

analyze the learning situation, set meaningful learning goals, determine which strategies to use, assess whether the strategies are effective in meeting the learning goal, and evaluate their emerging understanding of the topic. Students also need to monitor their understanding and modify their plans, goals, strategies, and effort in relation to contextual conditions (e.g., cognitive, motivational, and task conditions). Further, depending on the learning task, students may need to reflect on the learning episode and modify their existing understanding of the topic. Thus, learning with hypermedia requires the student to adaptively regulate these activities to meet task demands. If learners do not regulate their learning, hypermedia environments may appear to be ineffective. One way to foster student self-regulation is through the use of various kinds of contextual aids, which may include access to static educational resources or a human tutor who provides adaptive scaffolding to foster students' self-regulated learning. In studying these contextual aids, it is critical that researchers not only examine what students do but also determine *how* students regulate their learning and how external regulating agents, such as human tutors, can facilitate students' self-regulated learning.

To address students' documented difficulties in regulating their own learning, we have extended our current model by examining the role of a human tutor as an external regulating agent. As such, any scaffold (human/non-human, static/dynamic) that is designed to guide or support students' learning with hypermedia is considered a part of the task conditions. The role of scaffolds that are part of the task conditions (and therefore external to the learner's cognitive system) need to be experimentally examined to determine their effectiveness in fostering self-regulated learning. In our studies, we hypothesize that human tutors can assist students in building their understanding of the topic by providing dynamic scaffolding during learning and assisting them in deploying specific self-regulatory skills (e.g., activating students' prior knowledge). In so doing, a human tutor can be seen as an external regulatory agent that monitors, evaluates, and provides feedback regarding a student's self-regulatory skills. This feedback may involve scaffolding students' learning by assisting them in planning their learning episode (e.g., creating sub-goals, activating prior knowledge), monitoring several activities during their learning (e.g., monitoring progress towards goals, facilitating recall of previously learned material), prompting effective strategies (e.g., hypothesizing, drawing, constructing their own representations of the topic), and facilitating the handling of task demands and difficulty. Empirically

testing the effectiveness of self-regulated learning and externally-regulated learning can elucidate how these different scaffolding methods facilitate students' self-regulated learning and provide evidence that can be used to inform the design of hypermedia learning environments (see, for example, Azevedo & Cromley, 2004; Azevedo, Cromley, & Seibert, 2004; Azevedo, Cromley, Winters, Moos, & Greene, 2005; Azevedo, Guthrie, & Seibert, 2004; Azevedo, Moos, Winters, Greene, Cromley, Olson, & Godbole-Chaudhuri, 2005; Azevedo, Winters, & Moos, 2004; Cromley, Azevedo, & Olson, 2005; Greene & Azevedo, 2005).

Methodological

In our lab and classroom research, we use a mixed-methodology approach to combine *product* and *process* learning measures to examine students' self-regulated learning with hypermedia. For *product* data, we use mixed factorial designs, with students randomly assigned to one of several scaffolding conditions to examine qualitative shifts in students' conceptual understanding (i.e., mental models of the topic) and their gains on several measures of declarative knowledge from pretest to posttest. We also collect *process* data in our lab and classroom research by using think-aloud protocol methodology and classroom discourse analysis to examine the complex, dynamic nature of students' self-regulated learning and the influence of other external regulatory agents (i.e., human tutors, teachers, and peers) on students' learning with hypermedia.

Empirical Results

In general, our results show that students' learning about a challenging science topic with hypermedia can be facilitated if a human provides them with adaptive content and process scaffolding designed to regulate their learning. This type of sophisticated scaffolding is effective in facilitating students' learning as indicated by a) shifts in their mental models b) gains in declarative knowledge from pretest to posttest; and c) process data regarding students' self-regulatory behavior. In contrast, providing students with either fixed scaffolds (i.e., list of domain-specific sub-goals) or no scaffolding tends to lead to smaller shifts in students' mental models and smaller gains in declarative knowledge. Verbal protocols provide evidence that students in different scaffolding conditions differentially deploy key self-regulated learning processes, suggesting an association between these scaffolding conditions, mental model shifts and declarative knowledge gains. To date, we have researched 35 different regulatory processes whose

use is related to such process and product data. These processes include those related to planning (including sub-goals, activating prior knowledge), monitoring activities (related to one's cognitive system and emerging understanding, hypermedia system and its content, and dynamics of the learning task), effective and ineffective learning strategies, and methods of handling task difficulties and demands.

CONCLUSIONS

First, this line of research provides a valuable characterization of the complexity of self- and externally-regulated learning processes and the corresponding hierarchy of feedback loops during learning in laboratory studies and in learner-centered science classrooms.

Second, our findings provide the empirical basis for the design of technology-based learning environments as metacognitive tools to foster students' learning of conceptually challenging science topics (see Azevedo, 2005; Brusilovsky, 2001, 2004). However, these design decisions must also be based on the limitations and successes of current adaptive computer-based learning environments for well-structured tasks, current technological limitations in assessing learning of challenging and conceptually-rich, ill-structured topics in hypermedia learning environments, and instructional decisions regarding "*what, when,* and *how*" to model certain key self-regulated learning processes in hypermedia environments.

REFERENCES

Anderson, J.R., Corbett, A.T., Koedinger, K.R., & Pelletier, R. (1995). Cognitive tutors: Lessons learned. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 4*(2), 167-207.

Aleven, V., & Koedinger, K. (2002). An effective metacognitive strategy: Learning by doing and explaining with a computer-based Cognitive Tutor. *Cognitive Science, 26*(2), 147-181.

Azevedo, R. (2005). Using hypermedia as a Metacognitive tool for enhancing student learning? The role of self-regulated learning. *Educational Psychologist, 40*, 199-209.

Azevedo, R. (2002). Beyond intelligent tutoring systems: Computers as MetaCognitive tools to enhance learning? *Instructional Science, 30*(1), 31-45.

Azevedo, R., & Cromley, J.G. (2004). Does training on self-regulated learning facilitate students' learning with hypermedia? *Journal of Educational Psychology, 96*, 523-535.

Azevedo, R., Cromley, J.G., & Seibert, D. (2004). Does adaptive scaffolding facilitate students' ability to regulate their learning with hypermedia. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 29*, 344-370.

Azevedo, R., Cromley, J.G., Winters, F.I., Moos, D.C., & Greene, J.A. (2005). Adaptive human scaffolding facilitates adolescents' self-regulated learning with hypermedia. *Instructional Science, 33,* 381-412

Azevedo, R., Guthrie, J.T., & Seibert, D. (2004). The role of self-regulated learning in fostering students' conceptual understanding of complex systems with hypermedia. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 30*(1), 87-111.

Azevedo, R., Moos, D.C., Winters, F.W., Greene, J.A., Cromley, J.G., Olson, E.D., & Godbole-Chaudhuri, P. (2005). Why is externally-regulated learning more effective than self-regulated learning with hypermedia? In C-K. Looi, G. McCalla, B. Bredeweg, & J. Breuker, (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in education: Supporting learning though intelligent and socially informed technology* (pp. 41-48). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: IOS Press.

Azevedo, R., Winters, F.I., & Moos, D.C. (2004). Can students collaboratively use hypermedia to learn about science? The dynamics of self- and other-regulatory processes in an ecology classroom. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 31(3),* 215-245.

Brusilovsky, P. (2001). Adaptive hypermedia. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction, 11*, 87-110.

Brusilovsky, P. (2004). Adaptive navigation support in educational hypermedia: The role of student knowledge level and the case for meta-adaptation. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 34*(4), 487-497.

Collins, C., Brown, J., & Newman, S. (1989). Cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching the crafts of reading, writing, and mathematics. In L. Resnick (Ed.), *Knowing, learning, and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser* (pp. 453-494). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Cromley, J.G., Azevedo, R., & Olson, E.D. (2005). Self-regulation of learning with multiple representations in hypermedia. In C-K. Looi, G. McCalla, B. Bredeweg, & J. Breuker, (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in education: Supporting learning though intelligent and socially informed technology* (pp. 184-191). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: IOS Press.

Derry, S.J., & Lajoie, S.P. (Eds.) (1993). *Computers as cognitive tools* (1st ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Dillon, A., & Gabbard, R. (1998). Hypermedia as educational technology: A review of the quantitative research literature on learner comprehension, control, and style. *Review of Educational Research, 68*(3), 322-349.

Greene, J.A., & Azevedo, R. (2005). Adolescents' use of SRL behaviors and their relation to qualitative mental model shifts while using hypermedia. In C-K. Looi, G. McCalla, B. Bredeweg, & J. Breuker, (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence in education: Supporting learning though intelligent and socially informed technology* (pp. 233-240). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: IOS Press.

Hannafin, M., Land, S., & Oliver, K. (1999). Open learning environments: Foundation, methods, and models. In C. Reigeluth (Ed*.*), *Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory, Vol. II* (pp. 115-140). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Jonassen, D. H., & Land, S. M. (Eds.) (2000). *Theoretical foundations of learning environments*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Lajoie, S.P. (Ed.) (2000). *Computers as cognitive tools II: No more walls: Theory change, paradigm shifts and their influence on the use of computers for instructional purposes*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Lajoie, S.P., & Azevedo, R. (in press). Teaching and learning in technology-rich environments. In P. Alexander & P. Winne (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Pea, R. (2004). The social and technological dimensions of scaffolding and related theoretical concepts for learning, education, and human activity. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 13*(3), 423-451.

Pintrich, P.R. (2000). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts, P. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 451-502). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Puntambeker, S., Hubscher, R. (2005). Tools for scaffolding students in a complex learning environment: What have we gained and what have we missed? *Educational Psychologist, 40*(1), 1-12.

Quintana, C., Reiser, B., Davis, E., Krajcik, J., Fretz, E., Duncan, R., Kyza, E., Edelson, D., & Soloway, E. (2004). A scaffolding design framework for software to support science inquiry. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 13*(3), 337-386.

Shapiro, A., & Niederhauser, D. (2004). Learning from hypertext: Research issues and findings. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.). *Handbook of research for education communications and technology (2nd ed) (pp. 605-620).* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Shute, V., & Psotka, J. (1996). Intelligent tutoring system: Past, present, and future. In D. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology* (1st ed.) (pp. 570-600). NY: Macmillan.

Winne, P.H. (2001). Self-regulated learning viewed from models of information processing. In B. Zimmerman & D. Schunk (Eds.), *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theoretical perspectives* (pp. 153-189). Mawah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Zimmerman, B. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M. Boekaerts, P. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 13-39). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Using computers as metacognitive tools to foster students' self-regulated learning. Technology, Instruction, Cognition, and Learning Journal. v3. 97-104.Google Scholar. Azevedo and Hadwin, 2005. Scaffolding self-regulated learning and metacognition: implications for the design of computer-based scaffolds. Instructional Science. v33. Facilitating students' inquiry learning and metacognitive development through modifiable software advisors. In: Lajoie, S. (Ed.), Computers as cognitive tools: No more walls, Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ. pp. 97-132.Google Scholar. Williams, 1996. Learner control and instructional technologies. In: Jonassen, D. (Ed.), Handbook of research on educational communications and technology, Scholastic, NY. pp. 957-983.Google Scholar. Seven recommendations for teaching self-regulated learning & metacognition. Practical Tools. Evidence-based resources to inform the practice of teachers and senior leaders. View Practical Tools keyboard_arrow_right. Guidance Reports. Promising Projects. Self-regulated learners are aware of their strengths and weaknesses, and can motivate themselves to engage in, and improve, their learning. Developing pupils' metacognitive knowledge of how they learn—their knowledge of themselves as a learner, of strategies, and of tasks—is an effective way of improving pupil outcomes. Teachers should support pupils to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning. 2. Explicitly teach pupils metacognitive strategies, including how to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning. Self-regulated learning (SRL) is one of the domains of self-regulation, and is aligned most closely with educational aims. Broadly speaking, it refers to learning that is guided by metacognition (thinking about one's thinking), strategic action (planning, monitoring, and evaluating personal progress against a standard), and motivation to learn. A self-regulated learner "monitors, directs, and regulates actions toward goals of information acquisition, expanding expertise, and self-improvement". In students'self-regulated learning and provide evidence that can be used to. inform the design of hypermedia learning environments (see, for example, Azevedo & Cromley, 2004; Azevedo, Cromley, & Seibert, 2004; Azevedo technology-based learning environments as metacognitive tools to foster. students'learning of conceptually challenging science topics (see Azevedo, 2005; Brusilovsky, 2001, 2004).