

Social Rights Judgments and the Politics of Compliance: Making It Stick // Malcolm Langford, César Rodríguez-Garavito, César A. Rodríguez Garavito, Julieta Rossi // Cambridge University Press, 2017 // 9781107160217 // 527 pages // 2017

Compliance with Socio-Economic Rights Judgments is the first book to engage in a comparative study of compliance of social rights judgments as well as their broader effects. Covering fourteen different domestic and international jurisdictions and drawing on multiple disciplines, it finds significant variance in outcomes and reveals both spectacular successes and failures in making social rights a reality on the ground. This variance is strikingly similar to that found in previous studies on civil rights and the key explanatory factors lie in the political calculus of defendants and the remedies item 1 Social Rights Judgments Politics Compliance Making it Stick Maâ€¦ 9781316613313 LN 1 -Social Rights Judgments Politics Compliance Making it Stick Maâ€¦ 9781316613313 LN. Â£4.17. Free postage. SPONSORED. item 2 Social Rights Judgments Politics Compliance Making it Stick Maâ€¦ 9781316613313 LN. Free postage. item 6 Social Rights Judgments and the Politics of Com. Langford, Rodríguez-Garavito** 6 -Social Rights Judgments and the Politics of Com. Langford, Rodríguez-Garavito**. Â£31.39. Free postage. item 7 Social Rights Judgments and the Politics of Compliance: Making it Stick by Malco 7 -Social Rights Judgments and the Politics of Compliance: Making it Stick by Malco. Â£32.39. Free postage. 1. See, for example, Joseph Grieco, "Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism," *International Organization* 42 (Summer 1988), pp. 485-507; Joseph Nye, "Neorealism and Neoliberalism," *World Politics* 40 (January 1988), pp. 235-51; Robert Keohane, "Neoliberal Institutionalism: A Perspective on World Politics," in his collection of essays entitled *International Institutions and*. Neorealists and neoliberals may disagree about the extent to which states are motivated by relative versus absolute gains, but both groups take the self-interested state as the starting point for theory. This starting point makes substantive sense for neorealists, since